

M-CUP

Community Organization and Revolution (1965)

By CAMILO TORRES

We are often told that in seeking political and structural change we must choose between two methods: totalitarianism and democracy. It seems to me that political sociology has clarified this issue somewhat and helped to distinguish the formal and the real natures of each of these forms of government. If we define government by the people as democracy, and government by a minority as dictatorship, we must, in order to classify regimes, consider real power as well as just formal power. There is a subtle distinction between the two. Formal power is that exercised by government officials, while real power is that exercised by pressure groups. I believe that pressure groups can be majority groups, and, in that case, can be democratic.

That is to say, the important thing isn't whether there are pressure groups, because there will always be pressure groups. Decisions are not produced by spontaneous generation. They are made by groups. But if these groups are minority groups, then naturally the decisions will favor the minority's interest. And if the group is the majority, the decisions will favor the interest of the majority. Formal democracy guarantees a structure which, in theory, can serve a real democracy. But when there are no majority pressure groups, real democracy disappears.

When majorities exercise real power, then democracy exists. In this context I believe that Community Organization must attack directly the problem of the structure of power. If we consider Community Action not as a government agency, but as a method for awakening the consciousness of majority groups for organizing them to exercise pressure on decisions, we find it involves or-

ganizing the majority to have real power. Community Organization thus converts into a real democracy whatever formal system happens to exist - be it a monarchy, formal democracy, cooperativism, or whatever you want. If the majority is organized as a pressure group, decisions will be made which favor the majority, and that is democracy.

I believe in our political system, we can distinguish the government and the minority pressure groups that currently control Columbia, from the system of Community Action itself. It may be that the government's intention (in establishing a Community Action Program) was nothing more than to establish a palliative, calm the people a little, and give them a few token reforms. But the method of Community Action is to organize the people to seek goals which are not given to them by the traditional parties, but rather which are objectives of their own choosing. In seeking them, they are going to encounter frustration.

If these communities did not meet with frustration, we would not need any structural reform. If all of the communities of ordinary people could satisfy all of their needs, all of their aspirations, it would indicate that the existing structures were adapted to the majority. But if the structures are not adapted to the majority, and we organize the majority to seek satisfaction of its needs, they will naturally encounter frustrations. Thus the government's intention is one thing, and the method of Community Action is another thing.

I believe that if people are confronted with (continued on page 4)

The Minneapolis Community Union Project (M-CUP) began as an organizing project on the Near Southside of Minneapolis in June of this year. This area, close to downtown, is an integrated neighborhood of American Indians, Negroes and whites. These are mostly old people and families with young children suffering from economic and other problems. The neighborhood problems are compounded by racial conflicts. However, the Indians and Negroes share the same relationship to the power structure of the city with poor whites in the area. The powerlessness and the problems of the poor neighborhood are not caused by a racist city government or racist institutions. They are caused by widespread indifference to the problems of this neighborhood and exploitation of the people that

live here. For this reason, M-CUP is tentatively committed to building an integrated organization and is also committed to the prior development of racial and cultural pride in neighborhood groups. The development of this organization, in the long run looking towards fundamental changes in this society, is slowly being brought about by successfully attacking every day problems such as bad landlords and a bad bus fare system.

The project began in June with the creation of a playground on a vacant lot. The project brought and constructed playground equipment, and some donated equipment was received. A substantial amount of money was spent working on the playground in June and July. The lot was cleaned and

(continued on page 3)

new left notes

an internal newspaper of
students for a democratic society
406 w. madison, rm. 206
chicago, ill. 60612

VOL 1, NO. 37 let the people decide OCTOBER 1, 1966

national president's report

WHAT WILL THE PRESIDENT DO?

When I left Clear Lake I had the intention to do three things. In order: (1) Go East and put my life in order (say good-bye to my folks and my girl.), (2) Go to Chicago to become acquainted with the National Office staff, the procedure, and to gather together sufficient literature and films to begin (3) travelling to campuses (mostly to Chapters, but also campuses where none exist) and to projects. These things have all happened, but, strange to say, not quite in the orderly fashion I had laid out.

Before I got to Chicago I had visited four Chapters; begun arrangements for the distribution of the new Newark film; attended a meeting of the United States Youth Council as a representative of SDS; issued a press statement on SNCC (NLN); talked with REP staff in Ann Arbor enough to get an idea of what they are doing; and considered, and then turned down two television appearances. Hidden in that morass of activity were a number of conversations which have added to my understanding of what is going on, their content will come out in one way or another in these pages in the next few months.

I still plan to begin travelling after approx-

mately one week here in the NO. I expect that activity will be mixed, as have the last two weeks. The general thrust will be traveling and talking quietly. Since there is no predetermined task for the president, I want those who think that I have not defined it well, to present arguments. Mail will reach me through the NO.

Need I detail what I have and will talk about? I argue against the war, for self determination, for the political nation of black power, for student control of the university, for internal democracy in Chapters. I have been asking two questions with special frequency. 1) What is the process by which people become radical? I find that we have only the most casual, judging by a consistent emphasis on mass demonstrations, that the participation in or the witnessing of opposition has a radicalizing effect - or at least the effect of liberating people from a blind adherence to old views. Others argue that a confrontation with authority (successful or not, showing that opposition to the system of one's life is at least possible) sows the seed for a radical (continued on page 2)

Open Letter

To The National Secretary

I was pleased to see the resumption of the practice of weekly national secretary reports; some items in it raised issues that I feel called upon to join.

Since no formal report on the convention (resolutions that passed and resolutions that failed) is included, the members must go on your generalizations about "consensus" to gather what happened way out in Iowa where the supreme decision-making body of their organization met with 7% of the members in attendance. In three respects you badly misrepresented the convention. These are in an alleged choice of organizing, to the exclusion of mobilizing, an alleged rejection of electoral politics, and a description of the organizing program supposedly adopted.

Behind it all is apparently a distinction between "the new radicalism" which you want us to be called, and "the new left" that TIME LIFE calls us. That's fine; a new left includes people from a broad range of society, it is potentially or actually a mass movement. SDS, or at least the people at national meetings, is a new radicalism of discontented middle-class students, whereas it ought to be a new left.

You say we rejected the tactic of mobilizing in favor of organizing. This causes me to wonder what you could possibly mean by organizing. Any experienced organizer will tell you that mobilizations are a part of any organizing drive; that a demonstration of strength has its part to play; that people are invigorated by a chance to march or rally; that without periodic mobilizations all you have is an endless, boring, meeting or a soul session. Fortunately the convention passed no such immature resolution. It is true that the convention and the N.C. provided no program of that kind, but that was as much because it wasn't given a chance to develop one.

Your comments on the "consensus" rejection of electoral politics "SDS has learned that electoral politics is unlikely to be radical" once again is your political resentment of the conventions. No such political resolution was passed. That analysis lies in the face of activity of Committees for Independent Political Action in New York and Chicago, of the Scheer campaign, of the electoral activity of the Newark project, etc. If you were saying that SDS has a critique

of single-issue peace candidates, then you shouldn't attribute that critique to the convention, which passed no political resolutions, but to the April N.C., which passed our most recent declaration on electoral activity (you might reprint it in NLN) which argued against single-issue middle class peace candidates precisely because of the possibility for multi-issue radical electoral politics. The panel on the Scheer campaign at the June N.C. confirmed that this had gone on out there; if SDS has spoken to reject electoral politics and exclude people who engage in it please summon up the evidence.

The problem here is an old one; I have always contended that the best check against national secretaries' unilateral formulation of SDS policy is to let the people decide. Unfortunately the convention didn't. It didn't pass political resolutions. It spent most of the last few days in an interminable discussion of internal direction, the same discussion that goes on at every meeting, preventing us from formulating our political approach to the real world. The convention steering committee (composed of the same people who were elected at large N.C. members and national officers) consciously chose to embroil the convention in that "dialogue", with the result that the supreme policy-making body of SDS made no policy. I have the awful feeling of facing a fait accompli and of having no recourse to the democratic processes of the organization; when I say let the people decide I mean give them a chance to formulate where they stand, let them pass resolutions, let them chart the course of the organization. The fait accompli is that no political direction has been set. This is evident in your reference to Carl Davidson's paper on student syndicalism as the guiding document of the new organizers program set up at the convention. I never voted for (or against) the convention. I never voted for (or against) that paper. I agree with a lot of it, but I refuse to be committed to "affirming" the adoption of a counter-curriculum which students participated in shaping it rather than on its merits which he proposes. I never had a chance to argue about this.

This is not the first time that a well-known paper has become policy in SDS. After the Port Huron Statement and American Road and (continued on page 3)

Letters To The Editor

Dear Editor:

I wish to express concern over the prejudice that is lurking behind "Black Power" and to urge that less time and energy be spent by concerned people in trying to make "Black Power" respectable.

Regardless of the origin of it, hatred and violence are not fruitful paths to walk upon. I understand the Negro who is so busy mourning for his "own" that he cannot worry for Mickey Schwerner and Andy Goodman, but there is enough of goodness in me that I had rather walk with and sing the praises of those who deplore the deaths of ANY of their brethren, and by brethren, I mean fellow men.

Connected with hatred and violence is a narrow view of man, and of one's own destiny. This view permits the white man to react with irrationality toward Negroes because they are black, and that is enough. Our view should be that ANY reaction based on caste symbols which result in hatred or violence is bad, whether the reaction be labelled "Black Power" "Ku Klux Klan" or what have you.

The acceptance of our common brotherhood without reservation must precede real progress in any area - race, peace, politics - and precludes hatred and violence under whatever pretext. While I deplore SNCC and CORE's departure from the paths of righteousness, I had rather forget the differences, and resume the good fight. I fear, however, that brother Carmichael et al. may not wish to rub shoulders with tainted white anglo-Quaker liberal me any more. I couldn't care less, for he is my brother whether I am his or not.

Warmly,

James B. Osgood
Chicago, Ill.

Mr. Editor & The American Public.

If you voted for peace and have not yet seen the results, then vote with your dollar by not buying a car. Ours is an economically based society. To get peace, we must use economic means. Twenty companies exert decisive influence on the American economy, which is growing fat from government dollars (yours, the taxpayer's dollars) sunk into the bottomless well of warmaking. They are (listed in terms of total assets, according to 1964 statistics):

1. Standard Oil (N.J.)
2. General Motors
3. Ford Motor
4. U. S. Steel
5. Gulf Oil
6. Texaco
7. Sacony Mobil Oil
8. Standard Oil (Calif)
9. Standard Oil (Ind.)
10. E. I. Dupont
11. General Electric
12. Bethlehem Steel
13. International Buss. Machines
14. Shell Oil
15. Western Electric
16. Union Carbide
17. Phillips Petroleum
18. Getty Oil Companies
19. Westinghouse Electric
20. International Harvester

(from "Corporate Power and the Movement" by Mathew Hallinan, Dimensions, vol. 1, no. 1.)

These companies maintain highly professional lobbyists in Washington, and their voices are heard in the councils of government.

We of Citizens for a Democratic Society - a general membership organization dedicated to building a society in which war and human misery cannot exist - Have come up with one means of making the dove talk language the hawks can understand - dollar sign language. Only by making a dent in the money belt of the power structure will they admit the evidence of the world's testimony that the United States might be fighting an immoral, if not illegal war. Therefore, we propose a boycott against buying autos. We don't personally purchase napalm bombs, but we do buy Fords.

We ask you to pledge not to buy a car until our troops are withdrawn.

CITIZENS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY
924 Howard Street
San Francisco Calif 94103

Friend,

It is my understanding that the New Left Notes is more an open forum than a rostrum for only certain members of S.D.S.

If this is true - I would like to know why neither my letter on Tom Kahn's practice of the New Left, nor my essay called "The White Panther Speaks", was printed even in part, and both pieces have been with you 5 or 6 weeks. (I tried to return them available before the controversy.)

I am open as to reasons - but my reaction is one of strong distrust, and I would like to know what in the hell is happening.

Lon Clay Hill

New Orleans

(Ed. Note - I've been here for only about three weeks and I've neither seen nor can I find your letter or the article.

Strong Distrust?..... Shame on you!)

Dear SDS'ers,

I am 23, work as Peace Secretary for the AFSC here. I also write, and if you want to sample my views, see Sept. '66 issue of NEGRO DIGEST. I am interested in doing a long article on our generation, its views and beliefs, desires and hopes, fears and passions. So if you have any people who would like to help me out, could you let them know? I want statements on anything and everything. I have a deep seated feeling that there has not been any article to date that has tried to present our understandings in our terms, though we have had sympathetic coverage in many areas. And please don't get needlessly paranoid: if individuals like, they can be quoted anonymously.

Best wishes,
Joe Fosciari
St. Petersburg, Florida

Save Your Papers

The State Historical Society of Wisconsin is collecting papers and material about the movement and the New Left so that there will be a body of material available for research and study. So far, the Society has the CORE papers (including a lot of material from southern offices), the SDS papers, Carl and Anne Braden's papers, and a large assortment of material from organizers and community groups.

If you have material you no longer need (that means correspondence, diaries, field reports, notes on meetings, pamphlets and flyers, newsletters, financial reports, photographs, and newspaper clippings), and you'd

like to give it to the Wisconsin Historical Society, you can send it collect to the Wisconsin State Historical Society, 816 State Street, Madison Wisc. 53706, c/o Civil Rights Collection. If you're in the East, contact Mimi Feingold (who's the Society's east coast field worker), 327 Adams St. Apt. 9, Hoboken, N.J. 07030, phone: 201-653-8685, and she can pick up your contributions directly.

Eventually, when the material is sorted, the Historical Society will produce a catalogue of the collection so that people interested in studying the history of the New Left can know what's available.

S.D.S.

DEFENDS

ITSELF

(Madison Wisc.)

Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) Tuesday defended itself against those who call SDS "naïve, idealistic young kids whose dreams have been shattered" and who "have no politics."

Instead, said Jim Russell, editor of the society's newsletter, the society believes in "participatory democracy" and what Staughton Lynd of Yale University has described as "existential humanism." SDS wants "people to be more decent to each other."

Chairman Hank Haslach and a series of speakers emphasized the desire of SDS to form counter institutions to attack "the institutional power of American society."

Russell said that SDS is organizing the poor of America and sponsoring programs on Viet Nam, disarmament, civil rights and poverty.

According to Russell, SDS intends to develop "multi-issue consciousness" and "political sophistication" in the student by sponsoring a diversity of programs. "All people are welcome to work in the organization who do not want to use it exploitatively" he said.

Haslach listed SDS programs under way this year and opened the floor for further ideas.

The primary program is the Radical Education Project (REP) he said. REP was started in New York to conduct "competent research in radicalism," educate "leftist" activists and purge them of their clichés, and form an ideology for SDS and the entire left wing.

SDS members decided to leaflet the December draft exams.

They also discussed formation of a bureau to advise those classified 1A of alternate ways to serve the nation, and the formation of a "free university" in Madison similar to one already organized in New York.

Another suggested idea was to seek out "exploitative" landlords in Madison and "destroy" them with a rent strike.

SDS also intends to start a theater improvisation group and put a column in the campus paper once a week.

From the floor came suggestions to conduct a University Bookstore investigation and coordinate the workshops held by various campus groups.

Other members suggested studying the way automation could reverse rather than continue the depersonalization of society today, the need for SDS to work with the National Farmers Organization, and the possibilities of organizing high school students in Madison for democratic action.

SDS also plans to have Chancellor Robben Fleming answer a series of questions submitted to him publicly every Thursday. Fleming said during last spring's sit-in that he wished to talk with students.

SDS members said they hope that he will accept their proposal or provide them with a letter of refusal which they can publish in the campus paper.

Martin Tandler provided the meeting with a history of SDS, nationally and at Wisconsin. SDS was formed in 1962 as a small radical education group, he said.

The Wisconsin group started in 1963, was dormant in 1964, but last year sponsored speaker programs on politics, housing, civil rights, and the war in Viet Nam.

They also took part in a protest at the Badger Ordinance Works and the administration building sit-in. The programs of the past have largely failed, Tandler said, but added that "attitude is crucial" and SDS will continue to work for a democratic society.

National

President's

Report

(continued from page 1)

understanding of one's environment. I have felt, judging partly from my own experience, that simply living, whether by choice or circumstance, in an environment other than the accustomed one - as I found myself living in the Negro ward of an eastern shore Maryland poor city - gives one enough of a jolt to make one think, possibly for the first time, about the nature of the society around him.

One interesting and also unexplored part of this question centers on our relation to our parents. There are quite a number of people in the organization whose parents were associated with the Left in the 30's. How important an influence was that on us? In what ways did it happen? Let me suggest two: a) Summer camp. I have met a number of people who talk about the experience at camp as being their first introduction to politics. b) The McCarthyist lull. Suppose that the following scheme as some validity: the left wing movement of the thirties had two components: a) a political style and b) social values. During the fifties - the period which we were growing up - the political life of the older generation has been smothered; only the social values could be passed on. Thus we came to possess a decent set of social values but could not inherit a political style which we have since come to question.

Certainly there is much lacking in this analysis - it is pertinent only to the degree that we are descendants of an older left. But to the extent that it is valid it raises a sobering spectre, and it will temper our expectations for rapid growth.

The relevance of the question how do people become radical is a straightforward one. Each time that we consider a political action or a direction for the movement, we must consider it in the light of how we think people come to hold radical views.

The second question which I have been asking frequently is what kind of opportunities are we creating for people once they

leave the academic world? We must avoid the possibility of becoming a student left, one from which people graduate back into mainstream occupations and mainstream political actions (if not mainstream political thoughts). We are beginning to create these alternatives: (1) community organizing; (2) schools, particularly private schools for young children, such as the school in Ann Arbor; (3) communities such as the one in Hoboken, where a number of people holding regular jobs (printer, newspaper reporter, co-worker) pool their resources.

They continue to try to find new ways to reach people (NJ's first statewide peace demonstration, the MJ Correspondent, the festival. They find new ways to organize their work: the co-worker was all but fired, quit and continued organizing people on welfare; the printer is organizing a shop on a cooperative basis and plans to print mainly for the movement (4) campus organizing (no one has, as yet, taken on as a liteswork, made it a viable vacation...) (5) I hope that other people will describe other possibilities in these pages and argue for them.... We must realize that we are growing up.

Gossip: It is reliably reported that our beloved LBJ is progressing handsomely in his paranoia. He is said to be no longer able to control his rage at the mild criticism he has suffered at the hands of the New York Times.... The peace corps is considering a radical departure, the reverse peace corps. The explanation We give so much to the under developed countries of the world that they begin to resent having to say thank you all the time. Therefore we should give them the opportunity to do something for us. We have problems in this country which they could send young representatives here to help us with. That way they would not be always in a position of giving. This is possible. In the category New Definitions of Imperialism -?

from page 1)
 (iii) A tire swing, sandbox, jungle gym, tetherball and horse-
 play on it. Some staff people periodically worked with kids at fingerprinting and other activities, but as a method for approaching parents—an incidental goal in building the playground—it was unsuccessful. In terms of serving a genuine neighborhood need, however, the playground was a definite success. Every day almost 75 kids and sometimes more were around the playground.

The first week in August M-CUP opened a storefront office on Franklin Avenue, the main commercial street of the area, to be used as project headquarters and for meetings. The staff, after almost two months of very informal contact with people in the neighborhood, felt that the issues we could use as organizing tools were clear. We almost immediately began handling individual grievances in the areas of housing and welfare. In theory, an individual when persuaded to confront the systems that affect his life in a basic way can develop the commitment to fundamental social changes and begin to work for those changes. In practice, this is very difficult to accomplish. It means getting involved in all the day-to-day problems of neighborhood people in ways as various as taking people to court, getting them out of jail, helping them move, and putting up curtains as well as trying to get their landlord to fix their place up and the welfare department to give them what they deserve. The M-CUP office is beginning to be known as a place to bring problems, and neighborhood people do. In a typical day, M-CUP will be helping a couple of people who have welfare problems including late checks or not enough money or who are confused about food stamps, one or two families with bad landlords, a few people trying to get out of legal scrapes or into school or out of town, and, if it is near the end of the week or the month, a few people moving from one place to another in the neighborhood. We also deal frequently with lost pets, lost children, nosy social workers and visiting firemen—usually students or people just passing through town.

M-CUP is presently, then, a service organization, dealing in theory with the problems of tenants and welfare recipients, but actually acting as general grievance center and friend to many people in the neighborhood. This service approach will eventually be supported by block organizing to involve people that would otherwise not be contacted. Block organizing will begin as soon as there is staff available to handle both block organizing and grievance handling.

FALL PROJECTS

The organizing committee of M-CUP has recently made commitments to move into new areas this fall. A library will be established soon with donated books. A book drive will be conducted at the University of Minnesota this fall to start the library. To supplement donated books, the project needs some money to purchase books on Indian and Negro cultures and history. Funds to buy books on these subjects are needed soon especially since we are going to start a class in Negro history within a month.

M-CUP will also soon start printing a brief newsletter for neighborhood distribution. This newsletter will tell about the project and also print stories about neighborhood issues and activities. The cost of printing a bi-weekly newsletter is estimated to be \$25.00 per issue. This publication will give neighborhood people contact with the project and with the organizing activities of M-CUP, give them a voice to the neighborhood, and will supplement the issue leaflets which we distribute.

WELFARE

There are tangible beginnings for the development of a union of welfare recipients within M-CUP. The people working in this area are beginning to confront the city and county welfare departments with increasing success. Neighborhood people are becoming involved in helping other welfare recipients get through the tangle of indifference and inefficiency downtown and get what they deserve. This welfare union, in the long run, will be able to press for more democratic and humane welfare policies that won't rob people of their dignity. The activities of these people around welfare should also show them what can be done in other areas if people get organized and stand together. Welfare (continued on page 4)



COURTESY J.P. DULLES

MDS or WHAT?

Edward John,
University of Pennsylvania SDS

The New Left today is a student left. It's a student organization. The student orientation of SDS is a natural outgrowth of the political conditions of our times; and it cannot be easily or arbitrarily changed. But if the New Left remains an exclusively student left, it will die.

Students grow older; they graduate, get jobs, raise families. Many of them abandon radical politics as soon as they graduate—these are the Four Year Radicals. After their four years are up, they return to the sheepfold of comfortable liberalism. But what about those who are still radicals after their four years are over—Where can they go?

They can go to community organizing projects, they can work for the SDS National Office, they can hang around local SDS chapters pretending to be students. They try all these alternatives, or each in turn. But an ordinary person cannot spend very many years living on the subsistence wage of a radical organizer, or hanging around on the fringe of a student group—he grows older, further and further removed from the interests, needs, and social life of the young people.

As for the young people. They are in an organization with an ambitious goal—radical change of the society. But anyone with sense change of the society that society cannot be in his head knows that society cannot be changed by students alone. Gradually the realization dawns that an organization that cuts itself off from the adult world is not really serious about its goals. Radicalism turns into rhetoric. Discussion gets longer and longer, winds, goes around in circles, and blows away in the wind.

In such an organization there is no reason to be anything other than a Four Year Radical! Such an organization may survive for years but its survival becomes more and more meaningless. And sooner or later

it dies.

That is what will happen to SDS if it does not develop an organizational alternative for its older adherents. It will die. All its achievements and promise will go down the drain.

The New Left must create an adult organization if the New Left is to survive. But this does not necessarily mean changing SDS into MDS. The MDS proposal apparently means turning SDS into a combined adult/student organization. But the practical difficulties of maintaining such a hybrid structure would be enormous. To think of only one problem: Suppose you have an election for national officers in which a 40-year-old veteran is running against a 20-year-old student. Do you vote for age and experience or for new blood? Conflicts of that sort can do serious damage to an organization.

And there is no need to create such problems. Adults and students quite properly

belong in separate organizations, working for the same ends in different fields.

The solution, I believe, is not to change the structure of SDS. Rather, it is to create a separate adult organization. The adult group should certainly be bound to SDS by fraternal ties, but it should be organizationally separate.

Furthermore—it is up to the college graduates, graduate students, and the other older adherents of SDS to form this adult group. It would be their group, so it has to be their responsibility.

Before making further concrete proposals, I would like to see more discussion of the problems involved. However, the following suggestion would help the discussion: Can the National Office provide statistics on the number of SDS members who are college seniors, college graduates, or graduate students? This would give a specific estimate of the number of people who could be involved in the formation of an adult organization.

NEW LEFT NOTES

Published weekly by Students for a Democratic Society, 1608 W. Madison, Chicago, Ill. 60612. Phone (312) 666-3874. Second-class postage paid at Chicago, Illinois. Subscriptions: \$1 a year for members; \$5 a year for non-members. Signed articles and letters are the responsibility of the writer. Unsigned articles are the responsibility of the Editor, Thane Croston.

STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY

Nick Egleson, president; Carl Davidson, vice-president; and Greg Calvert, national secretary.
 National Office: 1608 W. Madison, Rm. 206, Chicago, Ill. 60612 (312) 666-3874.
 New York City: 49 West 27th St., NYC, NY 1001, (212) 889-5793.
 Niagara Region: 107 Dryden Rd., Ithaca, NY.
 Northern California: 924 Howard St., San Francisco, Calif. (415) 367-0822.
 Southern California: 1347-1/2 Riviera Ave., Venice, Calif.
 New England: 2076 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, Mass. (617) 547-5457.
 Chicago Region: 2059 N. Larrabee, Chicago, Ill. (312) 944-8624.
 Radical Education Project: 510 East William, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REFERENDUM

The marriage was consummated before we
 left the District 12 house at 21:22. We
 never consummated the marriage again after the 12
 leaving home.

SOS believes the war in Viet Nam is
a blatant example of the corrupt
nature of the present American System.

Chicago, Illinois

DuBois Members Jailed

For this the Dean of Students publicly suspended one of us and ended the other's job with the university. He also threatened to arrest us for trespassing if we entered the student union again. The next day we stated publicly that we considered the issue clearly defined and were going to overcome

At this time the A.C.U. is probably doing
no different in the capital's social circles than
any other group with which they are in contact.

7-10-68
 ATN Village, Ab...
 ...

For each of these purposes, the
the following points should be
the following points should be

Room 204
1001 W. Madison
Chicago, Ill 60612
Norman Rosenfeld

If these neo-Confucians are right, then the political party does not matter. It is not clear whether Confucianism can create a social order which can foster the kind of system which can create a stability which looks like that of the West. It is not only very hard to see how we can achieve this kind of stability, but it is also hard to see how we can achieve this kind of political system. It is not only very hard to see how we can achieve this kind of political system, but it is also hard to see how we can achieve this kind of political system.

* From S.E.D.C. and was used by J. Edgar
Hovde (Chicago University) in May,
1903) pp. 6870-7075. Translated by
Matthew Fife.



Open Letter

The new Museum in Pittsburgh will have a
 display of the bones of the first man found
 in the Americas and the bones of the first
 man found in the Americas.

Respectfully,
Paul Smith
Hill Country

Let the People Decide

Name _____
Address _____ Phone _____
I desire to contribute \$ _____ monthly to M.C.U.P.
I enclose \$ _____ as a donation
I am interested in working for M.C.U.P.

New York democratically sanctioned documents we had An International Abolitionist in the West [4-4] around which a slender SJS transformed itself into an organizing committee for the ghetto. But now SDS has 6000 members and a much larger following this is a considerable movement that is potentially a large new generation of activists. It deserves better. Maybe it conversely isn't held way off in Iowa where members would come and would make rather sure some policy got determined there actually.

The organization deserves a full report on this program of national campus organizations. Who are they? What are they going to do? Will SJS have any program for members who can't tolerate their tactics like themselves but wish of the radical left?

We have a program in classical politics is the program adopted by the April NC - the new one initiated by the international class.

I've been on various committees since previous time & I know quite a lot about what is going on in the New Left Movement. I don't think I could do anything more than